



## $\mathfrak{c}$ -Many types of a $\Psi$ -space

Hector Alonzo Barriga-Acosta<sup>a,\*</sup>, Fernando Hernández-Hernández<sup>b,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Posgrado Conjunto en Ciencias Matemáticas UNAM-UMSNH, Mexico

<sup>b</sup> Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Mexico



### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 2 June 2018

Received in revised form 10 October 2018

Accepted 21 November 2018

Available online 29 November 2018

#### MSC:

54-00

54A05

54A20

54A25

03E05

#### Keywords:

Almost disjoint family

$\Psi$ -space

Luzin family

Branch family

### ABSTRACT

We show that there are  $\mathfrak{c}$  many AD families of the same (uncountable) size whose  $\Psi$ -spaces are pairwise non-homeomorphic and they can be Luzin families or branch families of  $2^\omega$ .

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

An *almost disjoint family* of subsets of the natural numbers  $\omega$  (or any other countable set) is a family of infinite subsets of  $\omega$  so that any two different elements of the family have finite intersection. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an almost disjoint family (AD family, for short) on  $\omega$ , define the topological space  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}) = (\omega \cup \mathcal{A}, \tau)$  as follows:  $\omega$  is a discrete subset of  $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$ ; basic neighborhoods of a point  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  are of the form  $\{x\} \cup (x \setminus F)$ , where  $F \subseteq \omega$  is finite.

$\Psi$ -spaces have been well studied throughout the years because they are candidates to give examples or counterexamples of many topological concepts. There are nice properties  $\Psi$ -spaces satisfy: they are Haus-

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [bhector@matmor.unam.mx](mailto:bhector@matmor.unam.mx) (H.A. Barriga-Acosta), [fhernandez@fismat.umich.mx](mailto:fhernandez@fismat.umich.mx) (F. Hernández-Hernández).

<sup>1</sup> The first author's research has been supported by CONACYT, Scholarship 298353.

<sup>2</sup> The second author acknowledges support from CONACYT, grant CB2011-169078-F.

dorff, separable, first countable, locally compact and zero dimensional. For topological and combinatorial aspects of  $\Psi$ -spaces see [1] and [2], respectively.

Daniel Bernal-Santos and Salvador García-Ferreira wondered if  $C_p(\Psi(\mathcal{A}))$  and  $C_p(\Psi(\mathcal{B}))$  are homeomorphic whenever  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are homeomorphic as subspaces (considered as sets of characteristic functions) of the Cantor set  $2^\omega$  with the usual topology. To understand the space  $C_p(\Psi(\mathcal{A}))$  better, in personal communications they asked us for a more elementary question:

**Question 1** (Bernal-Santos, García-Ferreira). *If  $X, Y \subseteq 2^\omega$  are homeomorphic, are  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}_X)$  and  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}_Y)$  homeomorphic?*

Here,  $\mathcal{A}_X := \{\{x \upharpoonright n : n \in \omega\} : x \in X\}$  is the almost disjoint family of branches determined by the elements of  $X$ . It is well known that under  $\text{MA} + \neg\text{CH}$ , every set  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  of size less than the continuum is a  $Q$ -set (recall that a separable metrizable space  $X$  is a  $Q$ -set if every subset of  $X$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ ), and thus,  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}_X)$  is normal. Like this, there are many topological properties of  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  that have an effect on the  $\Psi$ -space  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}_X)$ . One might think that  $\text{MA} + \neg\text{CH}$  is a good ingredient to conjecture that the answer is affirmative. However, we answer Question 1 negatively since Theorem 14 shows that in ZFC there are different types of spaces  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}_X), \Psi(\mathcal{A}_Y)$  even when  $X$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic.

Recall that an AD family  $\mathcal{A}$  is *Luzin* if it can be enumerated as

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$$

in such way that  $\forall \alpha < \omega_1 \forall n \in \omega (|\{\beta < \alpha : A_\alpha \cap A_\beta \subseteq n\}| < \omega)$ . Branch and Luzin families are in some sense “orthogonal”, precisely because the normality of their  $\Psi$ -spaces might hold in the former and breaks down badly in the latter. We show in Theorem 13 that in ZFC there are different types of  $\Psi$ -spaces for Luzin families.

Focusing on AD families of size  $\omega_1$ , Michael Hrušák formulated the following question in a local seminar:

**Question 2** (Hrušák). *Is it consistent that there is an uncountable almost disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \Psi(\mathcal{B})$ , whenever  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  and  $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}|$ ?*

Observe that  $2^\omega < 2^{\omega_1}$  (in particular CH) implies that the answer to Question 2 is negative by the simple fact that given an AD family  $\mathcal{A}$  of size  $\omega_1$ , there are only  $\mathfrak{c}$  many subspaces  $\Psi(\mathcal{B})$  for which  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \Psi(\mathcal{B})$  (there are only  $\mathfrak{c}$  permutations of  $\omega$ ), and there are  $2^{\omega_1}$  many subsets of  $\mathcal{A}$  of size  $\omega_1$ . We believe that it is a very interesting question; we conjecture that the answer is no, but our methods do not work to solve it.

## 2. Basic facts

Our notation is standard and follows closely [1] and [2]. Similarly, we use  $f(A)$  to denote the evaluation of the function  $f$  at the point  $A$  in its domain while  $f[A]$  denotes the image of the set  $A$  under the function  $f$ . For sets  $A$  and  $B$ , we say that  $A \subseteq^* B$ , in words that  $A$  is almost contained in  $B$ , if  $A \setminus B$  is a finite set. Likewise,  $A =^* B$  if and only if  $A \subseteq^* B$  and  $B \subseteq^* A$ . For a set  $Z$  and a cardinal  $\kappa$ , denote by  $[Z]^\kappa$ ,  $[Z]^{<\kappa}$  and  $[Z]^{\leq\kappa}$  the families of all subsets of  $Z$  of size  $\kappa$ , less than  $\kappa$  and less than or equal to  $\kappa$ , respectively. If  $x \in 2^\omega$ , we denote

$$\widehat{x \downarrow n} = \{x \upharpoonright k \in 2^{<\omega} : n \leq k\} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{x} := \widehat{x \downarrow 0}.$$

The families  $\mathcal{A}_X$  defined above, where  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ , are canonical AD families on  $2^{<\omega}$ , and there are of any size below the continuum. Under a bijection between  $\omega$  and  $2^{<\omega}$  we can consider  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}_X)$ . Perhaps the families

$\mathcal{A}_X$  were first studied by F. Tall [3] when he showed that if  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ , then  $X$  is a  $Q$ -set if and only if  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}_X)$  is normal.

The following lemma shows how a homeomorphism between  $\Psi$ -spaces looks like.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be almost disjoint families on  $\omega$  and  $H : \Psi(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Psi(\mathcal{B})$  be bijective. Then,  $H$  is a homeomorphism if and only if  $H[\omega] = \omega$  and for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $H[x]$  and  $H(x)$ , as subsets of  $\omega$ , are almost equal.*

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $H$  is bijective and must send isolated points to isolated points, it is clear that  $H[\omega] = \omega$ , that is,  $H$  is a permutation on  $\omega$ . Now, let  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\{H(x)\} \cup (H(x) \setminus F)$  be a neighborhood of  $H(x)$ , where  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ . By continuity, there is  $F' \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that  $H[\{x\} \cup (x \setminus F')] \subseteq \{H(x)\} \cup (H(x) \setminus F)$ . Notice that the former set  $H[\{x\} \cup (x \setminus F')]$  is the set  $\{H(x)\} \cup H[x \setminus F']$ . Then  $H[x \setminus F'] \subseteq H(x) \setminus F$ , and thus,  $H[x] \subseteq^* H(x)$ . Use the fact that  $H$  is open and similar arguments to get  $H[x] \supseteq^* H(x)$ .

$\Leftarrow$ ) We will see that  $H$  is continuous; to see that  $H$  is open use similar arguments as above. Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\{H(x)\} \cup (H(x) \setminus F)$  be a neighborhood of  $H(x)$ , where  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ . Since  $H[x] =^* H(x)$ , there is  $F' \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that  $H[x] \setminus H[F'] \subseteq H(x) \setminus F$ . Since  $H$  is a permutation on  $\omega$ , we have  $H[x \setminus F'] = H[x] \setminus H[F']$ , and then,  $H[\{x\} \cup (x \setminus F')] \subseteq \{H(x)\} \cup (H(x) \setminus F)$ .  $\square$

For  $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ , let  $\langle s \rangle = \{t \in 2^{<\omega} : s \subseteq t\}$  and  $[\langle s \rangle] = \{x \in 2^\omega : s \subseteq x\}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  be a set of size  $\kappa$ ,  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ . Then there are infinitely many  $n \in \omega$  for which there are different elements  $s, t \in 2^n$  such that  $|\langle s \rangle \cap X| = \kappa = |\langle t \rangle \cap X|$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose for a contradiction that for every  $n \in \omega$  there is a unique  $s_n \in 2^n$  such that  $X_n := [\langle s_n \rangle] \cap X$  has size  $\kappa$ . Let  $Y_n = X \setminus X_n$ . Notice that  $|Y_n| < \kappa$ , and since  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ ,  $Y = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} Y_n$  has size less than  $\kappa$ . This is a contradiction because  $X \setminus Y = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} X_n$  has size  $\kappa$  and it is contained in the set  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} [\langle s_n \rangle]$  that has at most one element.  $\square$

Notice that by the previous Lemma, one can actually get infinitely many  $n \in \omega$  for which there is  $s \in 2^n$  such that  $|\langle s \cap 0 \rangle \cap X| = \kappa = |\langle s \cap 1 \rangle \cap X|$ . For an AD family  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\omega$ , we obtain the next observation by considering  $\{\chi_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subseteq 2^\omega$ , where  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of  $A$ .

**Remark 5.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an AD family of size  $\kappa$  with  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ . Then

$$\forall n \in \omega \exists m > n (|\{x \in \mathcal{A} : m \in x\}| = |\{x \in \mathcal{A} : m \notin x\}| = \kappa).$$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be AD families of size  $\kappa$  with  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$  and  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a bijection. Then for all  $n \in \omega$  there are  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$  such that*

1.  $\max\{x \cap y\} > n \wedge x \cap y \subsetneq x \cap z$ ; and
2.  $\max\{h(x) \cap h(y)\} > n \wedge h(x) \cap h(y) \subsetneq h(x) \cap h(z)$ .

**Proof.** Fix  $n \in \omega$ . By Remark 5, choose  $m > n$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0 \in [\mathcal{A}]^\kappa$  such that for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,  $m \in x$  and  $m \in h(x)$ . Now, fix  $y \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and apply Pigeonhole principle to the set  $\{x \cap y : x \in \mathcal{A}_0 \wedge x \neq y\}$ . There are  $F_0 \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}_0]^\kappa$  such that for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}_1$ ,  $x \cap y = F_0$ . There are also  $G_0 \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1 \in [h[\mathcal{A}_1]]^\kappa$  such that for all  $w \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $w \cap h(y) = G_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_2 = h^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_1]$ .

At this point we have that for any  $\{x, z\} \in [\mathcal{A}_2]^2$ ,  $F_0 = x \cap y = z \cap y$  and  $G_0 = h(x) \cap h(y) = h(z) \cap h(y)$ , simultaneously. This already implies that  $x \cap y \subseteq x \cap z$  and  $h(x) \cap h(y) \subseteq h(x) \cap h(z)$ . To find elements so that the inclusions are strictly proper, since  $|\mathcal{A}_2| = \kappa$ , use again Remark 5 to get  $m' > \max F_0 \cup G_0 \cup \{m\}$

and  $\mathcal{A}_3 \in [\mathcal{A}_2]^\kappa$  such that for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_3$ ,  $m' \in x$  and  $m' \in h(x)$ . Now, if  $\{x, z\} \in [\mathcal{A}_3]^2$ , then  $x \cap y = F_0 \subsetneq F_0 \cup \{m'\} \subseteq x \cap z$  and  $h(x) \cap h(y) = G_0 \subsetneq G_0 \cup \{m'\} \subseteq h(x) \cap h(z)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 7.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be AD families on  $\omega$  of size  $\kappa$  and  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be bijective. We say that  $h$  is of *dense oscillation* if for each  $\mathcal{A}' \in [\mathcal{A}]^\kappa$  there are  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}'$  such that  $|x \cap z \setminus x \cap y| \neq |h(x) \cap h(z) \setminus h(x) \cap h(y)|$ .

**Proposition 8.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be AD families of size  $\kappa$  with  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$  and  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be of dense oscillation. Then, there is no homeomorphism from  $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$  to  $\Psi(\mathcal{B})$  that extends  $h$ .

**Proof.** Suppose for a contradiction that  $H : \Psi(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Psi(\mathcal{B})$  is a homeomorphism extending  $h$ . By Lemma 3, for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $H[A] = {}^*H(A)$ . So, for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , consider the finite sets  $F_A = \{n \in A : H(n) \notin H(A)\}$  and  $G_A = \{n \in H(A) : H^{-1}(n) \notin A\}$ .

There are  $\mathcal{A}' \in [\mathcal{A}]^\kappa$  and  $F, G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}'$ ,  $F = F_A$  and  $G = G_A$ . If  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}'$  are different, then

$$(x \cap z \setminus x \cap y) \cap F = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \left( (H(x) \cap H(z)) \setminus (H(x) \cap H(y)) \right) \cap G = \emptyset.$$

Moreover,  $m \in x \setminus F$  implies  $H(m) \in H(x)$ , and  $H(m) \in H(x) \setminus G$  implies  $m \in x$ . From this, one can deduce that

$$|x \cap z \setminus x \cap y| = |H(x) \cap H(z) \setminus H(x) \cap H(y)|,$$

contradicting the dense oscillation property of  $H \upharpoonright \mathcal{A} = h$ .  $\square$

**Definition 9.** Let  $A, B \subseteq \omega$ .

- $A$  and  $B$  are *oscillating* if

$$\forall \{x, y\} \in [A]^2 \forall \{w, z\} \in [B]^2 (|y - x| \neq |z - w|).$$

- $A$  and  $B$  are *almost oscillating* if there is  $n \in \omega$  such that  $A \setminus n$  and  $B \setminus n$  are oscillating.

**Proposition 10.** There are  $\mathfrak{c}$  many infinite subsets of  $\omega$  pairwise almost oscillating.

**Proof.** From  $\omega$ , we first construct two oscillating sets  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n, B = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_n$ . Fix  $A_0 = \{0\}, B_0 = \{1\}$ . Suppose constructed  $A_n = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}, B_n = \{b_0, \dots, b_n\}$  oscillating. Let  $a_{n+1} \in \omega$  such that  $a_{n+1} - a_n > b_n - b_0$  and  $b_{n+1} \in \omega$  such that  $b_{n+1} - b_n > a_{n+1} - a_0$ . Observe that  $A_{n+1} = A_n \cup \{a_{n+1}\}, B_{n+1} = B_n \cup \{b_{n+1}\}$  are oscillating as well as will be  $A$  and  $B$ .

Notice that the construction is hereditary. That is, for any  $X \in [\omega]^\omega$ , there are  $A, B \in [X]^\omega$  oscillating. This allows to define a Cantor tree induced by these partitions. Each branch of the Cantor set,  $f \in 2^\omega$ , represents a decreasing sequence  $\langle A_{f \upharpoonright n} : n \in \omega \rangle$  of infinite sets of  $\omega$  such that for any other branch  $g \in 2^\omega$ , we have that  $A_{f \upharpoonright k}, A_{g \upharpoonright l}$  are oscillating whenever  $k, l > \Delta(f, g)$ . Now, for every sequence  $\langle A_{f \upharpoonright n} : n \in \omega \rangle$ , consider a pseudointersection  $P_f$  of  $\{A_{f \upharpoonright n} : n \in \omega\}$ . Observe that for any two sequences  $\langle A_{f \upharpoonright n} : n \in \omega \rangle, \langle A_{g \upharpoonright n} : n \in \omega \rangle$ , their pseudointersections  $P_f, P_g$  are almost oscillating.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be AD families of size  $\kappa$ , with  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ , and  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a bijection. If  $A = \{|x \cap y| : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}]^2\}$  and  $B = \{|x \cap y| : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{B}]^2\}$  are almost oscillating, then there is  $\mathcal{A}' \in [\mathcal{A}]^\kappa$  such that  $h \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}'$  is of dense oscillation.

**Proof.** Let  $n \in \omega$  such that  $A \setminus n$  and  $B \setminus n$  are oscillating. Iterating  $n + 1$ -many steps Remark 5, we can find a subfamily  $\mathcal{A}_0 \in [\mathcal{A}]^\kappa$  such that for any  $\{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}_0]^2$ ,  $|x \cap y| \geq n + 1$ . In the same way, we can find a subfamily  $\mathcal{B}_1 \in [h[\mathcal{A}_0]]^\kappa$  such that for any  $\{w, z\} \in [\mathcal{B}_1]^2$ ,  $|w \cap z| \geq n + 1$ . Then,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := h^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_1] \in [\mathcal{A}_0]^\kappa$ . Notice that for any  $\{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}_1]^2$ ,

$$n + 1 \leq \min\{|x \cap y|, |h(x) \cap h(y)|\}. \tag{1}$$

We do this to avoid the possibility to obtain an intersection of size at most  $n$  in order to reach “an oscillation”.

To see that  $\mathcal{A}' := \mathcal{A}_1$  is the desired family, choose  $\mathcal{A}'' \in [\mathcal{A}']^\kappa$ . Apply Lemma 6 to  $n$  and  $h \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}'' : \mathcal{A}'' \rightarrow h[\mathcal{A}'']$ , and get  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}''$  such that  $x \cap y \subsetneq x \cap z$ ,  $h(x) \cap h(y) \subsetneq h(x) \cap h(z)$  and  $n < \min\{\max\{|x \cap y|, \max\{h(x) \cap h(y)\}\}\}$  (observe that this last inequality was implied by (1)). Thus, there are  $\{a_0, a_1\} \in [A \setminus n]^2$  and  $\{b_0, b_1\} \in [B \setminus n]^2$  such that  $|x \cap z \setminus x \cap y| = a_0 - a_1 \neq b_0 - b_1 = |h(x) \cap h(z) \setminus h(x) \cap h(y)|$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 12.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be AD families of size  $\kappa$ , with  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ , and  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a bijection. If  $\{|x \cap y| : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}]^2\}$  and  $\{|x \cap y| : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{B}]^2\}$  are almost oscillating, there is no homeomorphism from  $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$  to  $\Psi(\mathcal{B})$  that extends  $h$ .*

**Proof.** If  $H : \Psi(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Psi(\mathcal{B})$  is such homeomorphism, by Corollary 11 there is  $\mathcal{A}' \in [\mathcal{A}]^\kappa$  such that  $H \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}' : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow H[\mathcal{A}']$  is of dense oscillation. If  $W = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}'} A$ , then  $Z = \mathcal{A}' \cup W$  is a subspace of  $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$  and  $H \upharpoonright Z$  is a homeomorphism contradicting Proposition 8.  $\square$

### 3. $\mathfrak{c}$ many types of $\Psi$ -spaces

Next we construct  $\mathfrak{c}$  many AD families of the same size whose  $\Psi$ -spaces are pairwise non-homeomorphic for each of the classes of Luzin families and branch families of  $2^\omega$ .

**Theorem 13.** *There are  $\mathfrak{c}$  different Luzin families (of size  $\omega_1$ ) with non-homeomorphic  $\Psi$ -spaces.*

**Proof.** Given  $L = \{k_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq \omega$  such that  $k_n > \sum_{i < n} k_i$ , construct a Luzin family  $\mathcal{A}_L$  as follows: Choose a partition  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  of  $\omega$  into infinite sets. Suppose constructed  $A_\beta, \beta < \alpha$ , with  $\alpha$  an infinite countable ordinal. Let  $\{B_n : n \in \omega\}$  be an enumeration with no repetitions of  $\{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$  and for each  $n \in \omega$ , pick  $a_n \subseteq B_n \setminus \bigcup_{i < n} B_i$  such that  $|\bigcup_{i \leq n} a_i \cap B_n| = k_n$ . Let  $A_\alpha = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} a_n$  and  $\mathcal{A}_L = \{A_\alpha : \omega < \alpha < \omega_1\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{A}_L$  is a Luzin family. Observe that

$$\forall \omega < \alpha, \beta < \omega_1 \exists n \in \omega (|A_\alpha \cap A_\beta| = k_n). \tag{2}$$

This is how we construct a Luzin family  $\mathcal{A}_L$  from a given set of natural numbers  $L$ . All the Luzin families considered in the next are constructed from a fixed partition  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  of  $\omega$ .

By Proposition 10, let  $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  be a pairwise almost oscillating family of sets of  $\omega$ . For every  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ , let  $Q_\alpha = \{q_n^\alpha : n \in \omega\} \subseteq P_\alpha$  such that for every  $n \in \omega$ ,  $q_n^\alpha > \sum_{i < n} q_i^\alpha$ . Notice that  $\{Q_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  is also a pairwise almost oscillating family of sets of  $\omega$ . It follows from (2) that for any  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ ,  $\{|x \cap y| : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}_{Q_\alpha}]^2\} \subseteq Q_\alpha$ . Since “almost oscillating” is a hereditary property, for any  $\omega < \beta, \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ , the sets  $\{|x \cap y| : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}_{Q_\alpha}]^2\}$ ,  $\{|x \cap y| : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}_{Q_\beta}]^2\}$  are almost oscillating. By Corollary 12,  $\{\mathcal{A}_{Q_\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  is the desired collection of Luzin families.  $\square$

**Theorem 14.** *Given a cardinal  $\kappa \leq \mathfrak{c}$  of uncountable cofinality, there are  $\mathfrak{c}$  different homeomorphic subsets of  $2^\omega$  of size  $\kappa$  with non-homeomorphic  $\Psi$ -spaces.*

**Proof.** Given  $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ , consider the tree  $S_A \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$  defined by  $\emptyset \in S_A$  and

$$s \in Lev_n(S_A) \implies (s \frown 1 \in S_A) \wedge (s \frown 0 \in S_A \iff n \in A).$$

Let  $X$  be any subset of size  $\kappa$  of the set of branches  $[S_A] \subseteq 2^\omega$ . Notice that

$$\forall x, y \in X \ (\Delta(x, y) = |\widehat{x} \cap \widehat{y}| \in A). \quad (3)$$

Again, by Proposition 10, let  $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  be a pairwise almost oscillating family of sets of  $\omega$ . Note that if  $A, B \in [\omega]^\omega$ , then  $[S_A] \simeq [S_B] \simeq 2^\omega$ , and  $A \cap B =^* \emptyset$  implies that  $|[S_A] \cap [S_B]| < \omega$ . Hence, we can choose  $X_\alpha \in [[S_{P_\alpha}]^\kappa$  such that the  $X_\alpha$ 's are all different, but  $X_\alpha \simeq X_\beta$ , whenever  $\alpha, \beta < \mathfrak{c}$ . It follows from (3) that  $\{|\widehat{x} \cap \widehat{y}| : \{x, y\} \in [X_\alpha]^2\} \subseteq P_\alpha$ , for  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  and so, the sets  $\{|\widehat{x} \cap \widehat{y}| : \{x, y\} \in [X_\alpha]^2\}$ ,  $\{|\widehat{x} \cap \widehat{y}| : \{x, y\} \in [X_\beta]^2\}$  are almost oscillating, for  $\beta, \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ . By Corollary 12,  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  is the desired collection of subsets of  $2^\omega$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 15.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an AD family of size  $\kappa$ . If there are  $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^\kappa$  such that  $\{x \cap y : x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0\}$  and  $\{x \cap y : x, y \in \mathcal{A}_1\}$  are almost oscillating, then  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}) \not\cong \Psi(\mathcal{A}_0)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $h : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a bijection, use Corollary 11 to find  $\mathcal{A}'_0 \in [h^{-1}[\mathcal{A}_1]]^\kappa$  such that  $h \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{A}'_0} : \mathcal{A}'_0 \rightarrow h[\mathcal{A}'_0]$  is of dense oscillation. Now, it follows from Proposition 8 that there can not be a homeomorphism between  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}'_0)$  and  $\Psi(h[\mathcal{A}'_0])$  that extends  $h \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{A}'_0}$ . This implies that it can not be a homeomorphism between  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}_0)$  and  $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$  which extends  $h$ .  $\square$

Motivated by Corollary 15, we ask the following. A positive answer to it gives raise a negative answer to Question 2. However, we do not even know if CH answers:

**Question 16.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an AD family on  $\omega$  of size  $\omega_1$ . Are there  $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$  such that  $\{x \cap y : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}_0]^2\}$  and  $\{x \cap y : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}_1]^2\}$  are almost oscillating?*

The arguments under CH below Question 2 say that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an AD family of size  $\omega_1$ , then there is  $\mathcal{A}_0 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$  such that  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}) \not\cong \Psi(\mathcal{A}_0)$ . However, the sets  $\{x \cap y : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}_0]^2\}$  and  $\{x \cap y : \{x, y\} \in [\mathcal{A}]^2\}$  are far from being almost oscillating (the first is contained in the second).

## Acknowledgements

We appreciate the careful reading of the anonymous referee, that improved the text of this work and fixed some inaccuracies.

## References

- [1] Fernando Hernández-Hernández, Michael Hrušák, Topology of Mrówka–Isbell spaces, in: Hrušák, Tamariz, Tkachenko (Eds.), Pseudocompact Topological Spaces, Springer International Publishing AG, 2018.
- [2] Michael Hrušák, Almost disjoint families and topology, in: Recent Progress in General Topology. III, Atlantis Press, Paris, 2014, pp. 601–638.
- [3] Franklin D. Tall, Set-Theoretic Consistency Results and Topological Theorems Concerning The Normal Moore Space Conjecture and Related Problems, Thesis Ph.D., The University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1969.